## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, V

Paul J. Sutton Greenberg Traurig, LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166

In re Application of

SOUZA et al.

Application No.: 10/519,782

PCT No.: PCT/US03/20633

Int. Filing: 01 July 2003 Priority Date: 02 July 2002

Attorney Docket No.: 2003CIPPCT

For:

NIGHTLIGHT, LED POWER SUPPLY

CIRCUIT, AND COMBINATION

THEREOF

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) filed 21 February 2006 to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to the prior filed nonprovisional applications set forth in the amendment filed concurrently with the instant petition.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

The present nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior filed applications is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). Therefore, this is a proper petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3).

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- **(1)** the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The petition complies with the requirements for a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) in that (1) a reference to the prior filed nonprovisional applications has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title, as provided by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii); (2) the surcharge fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(t) has been submitted; and (3) the petition contains a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to the prior filed nonprovisional application satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), the petition is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed applications under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that this application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications. In order for this application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether this application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Anthony Smith, Attorney Advisor, at (571) 272-3298. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being returned to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) for treatment in accordance with this decision, that is, for mailing of a Notification of Acceptance of Application (Form PCT/DO/EO/903) which identifies a date of 06 February 2006 under 35 U.S.C. 371(c) and preparation and mailing of a Filing Receipt in accordance with this decision, that is a filing receipt that identifies the instant application as a continuation in part of US application no. 10/188,533.

Boris Milef Legal Examiner

Office of Petitions and PCT Legal Administration